SPSO decision report



Sector: Health

Subject: clinical treatment / diagnosis

Decision: not upheld, no recommendations

Summary

C attended the practice a number of times over several years with recurring urinary tract infections (UTIs). C said that in that period, the practice had failed to undertake a test for a prostate specific antigen (PSA test) despite C's repeated requests. When the practice did agree to undertake a PSA test the result for this was high and caused the practice to urgently refer C to the local NHS board's urology department for further investigation. Subsequently, C was informed that they had prostate cancer. C complained that the treatment provided by the practice was unreasonable.

We took independent advice from a GP. We found that the practice provided reasonable treatment to C. We considered that C's condition of recurrent UTIs had been identified by the practice, who appropriately noted that this should be managed by the urology department. The referral to this department was in line with General Medical Council's Good Medical Practice as the ongoing symptom management of the patient lay outwith the practice's professional expertise. We concluded that the care provided by the practice was reasonable. We did not uphold this complaint.

