SPSO decision report



Case:	202108353, Ayrshire and Arran NHS Board
Sector:	Health
Subject:	Clinical treatment / diagnosis
Decision:	some upheld, recommendations

Summary

C complained to the board about an incident during which they were restrained by staff to receive emergency treatment when they experienced a life-threatening complication of their health condition.

At the time, C was being detained under a Compulsory Treatment Order by the board when the complication arose necessitating their transfer to the acute hospital site for further treatment.

C complained about several aspects of this episode including the conduct of the staff when restraining them, the failure by the board to contact or seek appropriate consent for the treatment from their court appointed welfare guardians, failure to maintain their privacy and dignity, and failure to tend to their comfort or basic hygiene needs. C also complained about the board's suggestion that a pattern was emerging of them making unfounded complaints due to them previously complaining about a separate episode of care.

We sought independent advice from a senior mental health nurse on the care and treatment provided by the board to C. We found that C's treatment was of a reasonable standard. We noted that the emergency nature of C's condition allowed treatment without their guardians' consent, and the steps taken to ensure their privacy, dignity and comfort had been reasonable in the circumstances. On considering the conduct of staff during the episode of care, the likelihood of having to restrain C for treatment had been anticipated in advance and plans were made to do so in line with board-approved techniques. We did not uphold this aspect of C's complaint.

In respect of the board suggesting that there was a pattern emerging of C making unfounded complaints, we referred to the rights of patients outlined within The Patient Rights (Scotland) Act 2011 and the Charter of Patient Rights and Responsibilities. As this legislation ensures the rights of patients to complain or give feedback about their healthcare encounters, we considered the board's response to C to be unreasonable and we upheld this part of C's complaint.

Recommendations

What we asked the organisation to do in this case:

• Apologise to C for this failing in relation to complaint handling. The apology should meet the standards set out in the SPSO guidelines on apology available at www.spso.org.uk/information-leaflets.

In relation to complaints handling, we recommended:

- The board should have policies and procedures in place to support the management of problem complainant behaviour.
- Where problem behaviour is suspected or identified, this should be handled in line with the NHS Model Complaints Handling Procedures and in reference to other associated policies and procedures.

We have asked the organisation to provide us with evidence that they have implemented the recommendations we have made on this case by the deadline we set.