

## SPSO decision report



**Case:** 202311785, A Medical Practice in the Greater Glasgow and Clyde NHS Board area

**Sector:** Health

**Subject:** Clinical treatment / diagnosis

**Decision:** upheld, recommendations

### Summary

C complained about the delay in the practice diagnosing their parent (A)'s cancer. C said that A was seen by a GP with recurring chest infections but was sent away with antibiotics and their initial requests for a chest x-ray were denied. When the x-ray was arranged and the results received by the practice, the GP did not contact A directly to discuss the results. Instead, A received a copy of the report from the reception staff, which was not easy to understand. C said the communication issues regarding the x-ray also led to a delay in an urgent prescription for antibiotics being passed to a pharmacy. C said that the delays in diagnosis limited the treatment options available to A.

C complained that the practice failed to reasonably investigate A's respiratory symptoms. We took independent advice from a GP. We found that while the majority of the care provided to A was reasonable, there was a missed opportunity to refer A for an x-ray, given their symptoms and the lack of success with previous treatments. Therefore, we upheld this part of C's complaint.

C also complained that the practice failed to inform A of the results of the x-ray in a reasonable manner. We found that the x-ray report should not have been provided to A by reception staff and the findings should have been shared in person or over the phone with the GP, including all relevant information. Therefore, we upheld this part of C's complaint.

### Recommendations

What we asked the organisation to do in this case:

- Apologise to A for failing to consider a referral for a chest x-ray sooner and for failing to provide the findings from the x-ray in a reasonable manner. The apology should meet the standards set out in the SPSO guidelines on apology available at [www.spsso.org.uk/information-leaflets](http://www.spsso.org.uk/information-leaflets).

What we said should change to put things right in future:

- Clinical staff should communicate with patients in line with GMC guidance in relation to sharing the findings of investigations.
- Patients are referred for further investigations in a timely manner, in line with NICE guidance on suspected cancer: recognition and referral.

We have asked the organisation to provide us with evidence that they have implemented the recommendations we have made on this case by the deadline we set.